

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/518,989	03/03/2000	Charles R. Piskoti	· IB-1366	6379
75	90 06/17/2003			
Henry P Sartorio			EXAMINER	
Lawrence Berkeley Nat'l Lab Patent Dept One Cyclotron Road MS 90 1121		t .	HENDRICKSON, STUART L	
Berkeley, CA	94720		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

	C1 119113	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shee	t beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	2	,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory ault, expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the applicat	minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. ion to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	,	
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3	
☐ This action is FINAL.		·
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 	pt for formal matters, 335 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	prosecution as to the merits is closed in 213.
Disposition of Claims		
)_\C\alpha\\C\alpha\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
X Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)		
Application Papers		requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	• •
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	ected to by the Examir	ner
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	/ under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9 (a)–(d).
 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: 		9 (a)–(d).
 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 	received.	
 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 	n received. n received in Applicatio	n No
 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents 	n received. n received in Application nts have been received	n No
 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation 	n received. n received in Application nts have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	n No d 7.2(a))
 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internatio *Certified copies not received: 	n received. n received in Application nts have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	n No d 7.2(a))
 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation* *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	n received. n received in Application nts have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	n No d 7.2(a))
 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation* *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper 	n received. received in Applications have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	n No d 7.2(a)) □ Interview Summary, PTO–413
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internatio *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	n received. I received in Applications have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	n No d 7.2(a))

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/518989 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

- 1) There is no support or discloure of making the derivatives claimed. From the phraseology used, these appear to be speculative modifications. There are no examples of a doped or surface-modified C36, much less one in which a hetero atom replaces a carbon atom in the main structure.
- 2) As the specification recites the 400 torr of helium to be an important factor in the production of C36, this feature should be incorporated into claim 6, which does not recite how the production step is done. It appears that claim 6 should state '.. In an arc at about 400 torr helium'.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the Service article, and/or the Nature article discussed therein.

Service reports on a Nature article, in which C36 is made and isolated. While not teaching the exact claim language, no difference is seen since it appears a solid is made. The Nature reference is 'by another' however a detailed explaination does not appear necessary.

Claims 1-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Stankevich et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/518989 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

Stankevich teaches on pg. 172, C36. As its properties are reported, it appears to have been made and isolated.

Applicant's arguments filed 2/5/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Previos arguments are incorporated by reference, to answer the repeated arguments made in the Brief.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.

Stuart Hendrickson

examiner Art Unit 1754